WHY NO ONE IS UNDERSTANDING THE STEVEN AVERY CASE

Updated December 4, 2018

The December, 2015, Netflix release of the 10 part docuseries, "Making A Murderer," has created a public interest that has reached unprecedented heights. Even people in foreign countries have taken an interest in this 10 hour exposé of a double wrongful conviction. Comments regarding the case are appearing all over the Internet as well as in the press and on TV. Even Dr. Phil dedicated two entire shows to the case and feels the trials were not fair.



I speculate that the interest will continue on for many years to come, as people continue to take sides in what many see as a very perplexing and emotionally charged case.

To understand the case, most people immediately dive into the many intricate aspects of the murder case, analyzing the evidence and questioning the motives of all involved. The purpose of this article is to present a different perspective that is rarely mentioned in all of the commentary that is being published. A perspective that will show the relationship between the original rape case and the subsequent murder case. As such, the article is divided into five separate sections:

1. Understanding False Convictions In General

First, one needs to understand the subject of "false convictions" in general. False convictions have gone on since the beginning of time and are really nothing new. Only in recent years has the issue even begun to arise in the consciousness of society. There are possibly as many as 440,000 people in prison who have been falsely convicted. 10,000 are added every year. About 150 will win their freedom in any given year. Obviously, the number of people being periodically exonerated nowhere matches the number of people being falsely convicted on a daily basis.

In the United States, there are more people sitting in prison than any other country in the world, both in actual numbers and in the total percentage of the population. And the numbers are increasing daily, as the "prison industry" has become big business. It is even being partially privatized, with prison stock being sold on the stock exchange. Private prisons have to have full residency to stay profitable. It is in their contracts with the state. Many in the judicial community own these stocks. There is little motivation in reducing the prison population — or in providing any meaningful rehabilitation for those who are truly guilty. It is in reality a warehousing operation, similar to the Soviet style gulags of past decades. Read my article, *THE AMERICAN GULAG SYSTEM*:

http://ernestlmartin.com/avery/THE%20AMERICAN%20GULAG%20SYSTEM.pdf

Some similarities exist among those falsely convicted. Most are poor and rely on "Public Defenders." Ineffective representation is often the result, with attorneys simply not doing their job. Plea bargains are rampant, as most crimes never go to trial. There are some instances of people with financial resources also being falsely convicted, but that is rare.

So, how do people become falsely convicted? I've divided the false conviction scenarios into four specific categories:

1. First, there is the **honest mistake category**. There was no apparent malice involved by the prosecutors, the court or law enforcement. Half of the people in this category are convicted by a mistaken eyewitness testimony. The other half are convicted on other types of honest procedural mistakes, inaccurate expert witness testimony, and/or inaccurate scientific testing. Usually the accused had "ineffective counsel."

2. Second, there is the **prosecutorial misconduct** type of false conviction. This is where the prosecutor simply wants another "win" to further his career. He or she will stoop to any low to get their conviction. Unfortunately, the judicial culture in most state court systems encourages this type of behavior. Judges and law enforcement simply look the other way. Appeal Courts and Supreme Courts rarely overturn a conviction, no matter how blatant the misdeeds are.

Even when the prosecutor's misdeeds are eventually exposed in an exoneration, few will ever get more than a slap on the wrist. No one goes to jail, pays a fine or loses his job, even though

their misdeeds are in fact criminal offenses that should result in their own incarceration. When later confronted about their misdeeds following an exoneration, few will ever show any remorse or admit that they made a mistake. Their misdeeds, of course, result in the ruining of the convicted person's life and that of their families, too.

3. Third, is the outright and blatant **conspiracy** type of false conviction. The conspiracy often consists of the prosecutor, the judge, law enforcement, the defense (amazingly) and usually an outside (but secret) entity or person. This type of false conviction is usually the easiest to detect, because all of the participants blatantly lie and commit various types of professional "misconduct." Examples would be the judge refusing to allow the defense to present evidence of other suspects who might have committed the crime, giving the jury incorrect jury instructions, the prosecutor falsifying or hiding evidence, and law enforcement lying about the various aspects of their own involvement and even planting false evidence. Many times crucial evidence simply disappears or is altered. They are all working in obvious concert with each other, scratching each other's backs.

However, even those observers who conclude that the false conviction is a conspiracy often fail to fully understand the complete scope of the conspiracy. They simply figure that these conspirators (the judge, prosecutors, law enforcement and the outside party) are all just local friends out to gain another win, whatever their real motive may be. But the extent of the conspiracy is often something more far reaching (as it is in the Avery case) — and so very hard to swallow for the uninitiated.

To have any kind of conspiracy, you need a "glue" to hold it together. What if one person in the conspiracy breaks down and tells the real truth, betraying the others? If that happens, then all of the other participants would fall and suffer severe consequences. Therefore, you need a safety net (or glue) to keep the conspiracy together at all costs.

So what is the glue? The glue that holds them all together is usually the intertwining fraternal and/or religious groups that operate either secretly or semi-secretly throughout the world. The Internet is full of exposés on these groups, complete with organizational charts. Their tactics are usually ruthless, with members taking "blood oaths" to uphold the group — and its members, no matter what the circumstances.

We don't like to admit that they exist, because it upsets our paradigm and betrays our concept of living in a constitutionally "free society" (ingrained into our psyche through years of miseducation at every educational level, and reinforced through the controlled press.) It is too emotionally unsettling and politically incorrect for most people to accept. Oddly enough, while acceptance of this paradigm seems to be a problem for most Americans, most Europeans seem to have no problem understanding it at all.

4. Lastly, we have the issue of **jury tampering**. Jury deliberations always become suspect later on when the person that they found guilty is suddenly proved to be innocent. Most jurors still won't talk about it, apparently afraid for their safety and/or their reputation. The jury is the last "safety net" for the accused and are the ones charged with deciding their fate. When that safety net of the supposedly unbiased jury of our peers fails, (as it did in the Avery case and thousands of other similar cases,) we get a wrongful conviction. Juries have a moral obligation to find a defendant innocent even when something just doesn't seem right, and even if it is contrary to the judge's jury instructions. It is called jury nullification:

https://www.google.com/search?q=jury+nulification&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8&client=firefox-b-1

2. So, What Happened In The Avery Case?

Herein is the crux of the problem that most people are not getting in the Avery case. Everyone is so preoccupied with the details of the murder case that they have *totally ignored* the details of the original rape case. They simply accept the fact that Steven Avery was convicted on a "mistaken eyewitness identification" and let it go at that. Few are really concentrating on the facts of the rape case and fewer have come out and said the unthinkable.

The unthinkable is that the original rape case was in fact masterminded by a coalition of the local civilian "club" members *and* the police. We don't know what the motive behind it was. It could have well been to discredit the family business (which seems to have worked, as their business is down to nothing.) And who in the local law enforcement would benefit from that? Maybe Sheriff Robert Hermann, who owns a massive salvage yard?

The evidence of *conspiracy* is rather overwhelming. There was no *honest mistake* in Steven Avery's original rape conviction. It was *planned* that way to put him away for a long, long time. <u>http://georgezipperer.blogspot.com/2016/02/its-all-related-to-secret-sex-club.html</u>

The fact that he eventually got out (which was not planned) and was about to receive \$36 million (even more not planned) is only part of the story. For some reason they wanted him put away long before the \$36 million even entered the picture.

What is the conspiratorial glue in the Avery case conspiracy? While there is no hard evidence to prove this, some have come forward with testimony of a local group known publically as "the club" as being the primary glue involved in the control of the community. Exposés of its existence can be found on YouTube and elsewhere on the Internet in these Google listings:

https://www.google.com/search?q=%22steven+avery%22+%22the+club%22&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-

It is possible that "the club" is just another name for the local "satanic coven." It is alleged that the state of Wisconsin has more Satanists in it than in all of California, Texas and New York combined: https://www.google.com/search?q=wisconsin+satanists+covens&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8 Wisconsin is not a populous state. Therefore the percentage of Satanists in Wisconsin is the probably the highest in the nation. It is also reported that there are more "covens" in Wisconsin than in all of Europe. It would make sense that they would use the more socially acceptable term "the club" to protect their image. Most Satanists in the world are actually leading members of their community, often attending their local Christian church and holding prominent jobs in government, law enforcement and the judiciary. Another words, anyone who is a pillar of society is connected through "the club."

Whether one buys into this satanic theory or not, the existence of the club (whatever it may really be) is undeniable. Particular people are *enticed* to join — or are *coerced* to join. Some have allegedly been *murdered* for not joining.

Historically there is also another group that has also been alleged to be the conspiratorial glue in wrongful convictions on a worldwide scale — a well-known local fraternal organization that has a rather sordid history to it.

There is much documentation and many books written about this group, too. This is just one many websites exposing this group: <u>http://usavsus.info/MasonsInLawEnforcement.html</u>

But what we have in this present alleged murder conspiracy that is most disconcerting is *jury tampering*. In many cases, including the Avery case (where the original jury vote had 7 jurors voting for acquittal and 2 for conviction), they all somehow ended up voting for conviction.

In a sense, "we the people" are just as guilty as the jury for allowing this kind of unconscionable situation to continue to exist. We are the ones who, by proxy (allowing our government "servants" to speak for us in the making of laws), by our lack of civic involvement, by our miseducation of both constitutional and maritime law, and by our collective silence, have sentenced Steven Avery and Brendan Avery (and thousands of other equally innocent people) to prison for a crime no evidence connects them to.

How does this happen? Is someone on the jury a member of "the club" (or of a certain fraternal organization) with ties to the prosecution, law enforcement, or an outside person or entity that wants a conviction? Manitowoc County insurance companies refused to cover the \$36 million judgement, not to mention the several law enforcement individuals who would have been wiped out financially. Is someone on the jury related to one of these people — or to a county

employee? Or are they (or their family) threatened or coerced by someone else who is? Remember, in the Avery case we are talking about a very small community, where even moving the trial to the next county has no real



significance in eliminating potential bias — or jury tampering:

http://www.people.com/article/steven-avery-juror-says-two-jurors-related-county-employees and <u>http://onmilwaukee.com/movies/articles/makingamurdererjurorvolunteer.html</u> No one really knows what goes on in any jury room. But in the Avery case (and in many other wrongful conviction cases) somehow one or more jurors obviously end up influencing the rest. Then they make a pact to never talk about it. In the Avery jury, some even feared for their safety.

Read about the strange case of juror 11 (coincidentally the de facto leader of the acquittal jurist group) whose daughter is conveniently involved a bizarre auto accident during the trial: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RGU61L8u-hc (Rick Ray apparently is the same as Richard Mahler, the excused juror #11, according to comments made towards the bottom of the YouTube page.) He is excused by the judge — and the jury is then mysteriously swayed.

So, who really killed Teresa Halbach (assuming she really is dead — which there is no real credible evidence of)? We know Steven Avery didn't do it. He has witnesses to his whereabouts later that night. It would have had to be someone close to her that she would never suspect foul play from. Bear in mind that this is a small community. One where everyone knows everyone else. What are the chances that someone within the community would even want to murder Teresa Halbach? She didn't have an enemy in the world.

3. Interesting Clues To The Case

1. The evidence presented that Teresa Halbach is dead is suspicious. The DNA from the bone fragments were analyzed by both the FBI and the State — both suspicious sources under the circumstances. The dental records were inconclusive. Read this article on the strange death of her relative, Carmen Boutwell: <u>https://thmurderevidence.wordpress.com/carmen-died-the-day-th-was-reported-missing/</u>

Read about the lack of pictures of the bones.

http://www.postcrescent.com/story/news/2016/06/28/absence-photos-mars-averyinvestigation/86031274/

Read about how the coroner was barred from the crime scene and from examining the bones and whose testimony in court was squelched: <u>http://theinspiringdad.com/debra-kakatsch</u>

Teresa Halbach may well still be alive — and simply relocated. Even Delores Avery (Steven's mother) has speculated that on MAM.

2. Teresa's video, where she talks about her life, is strange, as if she knew she would die (or relocate.) <u>https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=Teresa+Halbach%27s+video</u>

3. Was Brendan Dassey's Public Defender just totally incompetent — or was he part of the conspiracy, too? His painted-on smile suggests he knew what his role was in the game plan, from beginning to end.

4. Where is the motive for Steven Avery to commit a murder? Do people who are about to receive \$36 million in two weeks typically go crazy and kill someone in a gruesome manner?

5. So, Steven Avery left damning evidence in plain sight, yet did an incredibly perfect job of eliminating all evidence of the resulting blood splatter from gunshots and/or throat slashing (in the house and/or in the garage)? And then he tries to hide Teresa Halbach's RAV4 with a few twigs on his own property — when he has a car crusher nearby? And he takes the license plates off and throws them into another car as if no one would ever think to look there to find them?

6. And Teresa Halbach's DNA is *not* found on her (one) key (or <u>even in her own vehicle</u>)? And who has only one key (even the picture of her in front of her own vehicle shows multiple keys on her key chain)? And the (one) key looks brand new — like it was never even used and just recently made? <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yoITm9GHzOw</u>

7. You can't burn a body (and the bones) in a bon fire (not to mention nearby neighbors would have smelled the odor.) Read up on what it takes to actually cremate a body — and the bones. Or watch MAM Part 2 and listen to the testimony of the experts on this subject. Read about how the coroner was barred from the crime scene and from examining the bones and whose testimony in court was squelched: <u>http://theinspiringdad.com/debra-kakatsch</u>

8. Nobody is questioning the FBI's EDTA blood testing testimony. The agency's reputation on blood analysis is scandalous — and in this case suspicious because of the unusual speed in which it was conducted (especially since they normally do not test for EDTA.) If EDTA is in fact in the blood samples taken from the car, then it could have only been put there by law enforcement. Then again, they really didn't need Avery's blood sample from the evidence room. They had already towed his other car which *did* contain his blood in it (with no EDTA.) https://www.google.com/search?safe=off&client=firefox-b-

<u>1&ei=aoTTW_GKNMPH_Qb52o2AAQ&q=fbi+blood+scandal&oq=fbi+blood+scandal&gs_l=psy-ab.3...42491.43220..45054...0.0..0.209.734.0j4j1.....0...1..gws-wiz.j4FfkWRyfDc</u>

And he also had his blood all over his sink from a previous wound. Miraculously the sink blood got cleaned up all by itself the next time he visited it.

9. It appears that the excused juror #11 may have been set up to be excused. His daughter was in a bizarre car accident in the middle of nowhere, sustaining injuries. Miraculously, the police show up within just a couple of minutes, before 911 is even called. Her injuries were sufficient to excuse juror #11.

10. What is so significant about juror #11? He is the de facto leader of the 7 jurors voting for acquittal. With him out of the picture, the remaining jurors were able to be turned by the two most vocal of the jurors voting for conviction. And those two jurors just happened to be a related to of one of the law enforcement personnel and a government worker.

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RGU61L8u-hc</u> (Rick Ray apparently is the same as Richard Mahler, the excused juror #11, according to comments made towards the bottom of the YouTube page.)

11. No one has commented on the date of the alleged murder — October 31 — Halloween. It is also the premier "high day" of Satanists and their human sacrifices. Just a coincidence, I'm sure.

12. Is it possible that the RAV4 found on the Steven's salvage yard is not Teresa Halbach's? My paper exposing this obvious discrepancy and a whole lot of other unsettling facts is entitled, *THE STEVEN AVERY CASE — SOLVED*:

<u>http://ernestlmartin.com/avery/THE%20STEVEN%20AVERY%20CASE%20-%20SOLVED.pdf</u>. This is a game changer. Can you tell blue from green or teal? Even the police said the VIN plate was tampered with.

13. A good synopsis of the case by Law Enforcement Today can be found here: <u>https://www.lawenforcementtoday.com/netflixs-making-a-murder-guilty-or-innocent-does-it-matter/</u> 14. Avery timeline and Halbach death certificate discrepancies:

http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/world-news/making-murderer-teresa-halbachs-death-7470298

15. SIKIKEY note websites (a mysterious note added into evidence):

https://www.google.com/search?q=SIKIKEY&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8

4. Synopsis of This Case

- The Steven Avery case is unusual *only* in one regard it was filmed live over a ten year period and then shown on Netflix. Otherwise, the MAM story is no different than the stories of over 440,000 other wrongfully convicted people languishing in prison for the rest of their lives. Their stories are just as unsettling. Two of those stories were also made into movies: <u>Conviction</u> and <u>An Unreal Dream: The Michael Morton Story</u>.
- 2. The original rape case holds the clue to the second murder case. The conspiratorial participants in that case were more clearly defined; civilians and police (and of course, the same corrupt judicial system.) It can therefore be concluded that these original participants also masterminded the second wrongful conviction, too. The entire concept of Teresa Halbach just *happening* to die at this crucial time two weeks before the massive payoff is absurd. What are the chances?
- 3. The key points to the murder conviction are the magically appearing RAV4 single key (but without Teresa Halbach's DNA on it) and the equally magical appearance of a similar RAV4 in the Avery salvage yard (again without Teresa Halbach's DNA on it.) Similar, except it is the wrong color and the police even admit the VIN plate had been tampered (swapped) with. It is simply not her vehicle. These obviously planted items of evidence combined with the obviously coerced confession by Brandon Dassey should have ended the investigation immediately. But it didn't.
- 4. Mysteriously missing is any conclusive evidence of Teresa Halbach's demise. The fact that she is missing does not conclude that she is dead. There simply is no evidence of a murder here. The very idea of relocation on someone's Witness Protection Program (or perhaps as a "club" project) has amazingly never been suggested or addressed by any of the people involved in the case (except for Mrs. Avery.)

- 5. The jury, originally voting for acquittal by seven jurors, is mysteriously turned around for a full conviction. Jury selection did no preclude jurors with ties to the county and the police (not to mention, "the club.") A key acquittal juror is excused due to a bizarre "accident" to a family member. An accident involving an incredible police response.
- 6. Key to the whole double conviction scenario is the word "conspiracy." Many people have a real problem with that word. And because of that, the conspirators have their built-in safety net. After all, who would ever believe a conspiracy of this magnitude?
- 7. Lastly, there is much speculation that various members of both the Dasey and Halbach families know more than they are admitting to. In light of all of the above mentioned evidence, it would seem that this is probably true.

Ken N.

October 25, 2018

5. Some Humor In All This


He is concerned about missing his class.



Any other questions?



Sounds logical to me.



So what if I deleted Teresa Halbach's voice mails?

Don't all ex-boyfriends do that?



A man of many talents?



Edward Wayne Edwards (the alleged Zodiac killer) in the background,

with Ken Kratz in the foreground?

Was this picture photoshopped? Is Edwards proportionately too small? Or is this just someone else who happened to wonder into the building? Did he write the mysterious Sikikey note? <u>http://www.businessinsider.com/making-a-murderer-serial-killer-theory-edward-wayne-</u> <u>edwards-2016-1</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mHF1uqRX1vQ</u>





Mysterious Note

From Edward Wayne Edwards — or someone else? A note to throw everyone off?

https://wronglyconvictedgroup.wordpress.com/2015/12/23/steven-avery


<u>https://www.google.com/search?q=SIKIKEY&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8</u> and <u>https://www.reddit.com/r/MakingaMurderer/comments/46rynp/speculation_could_ths_murd</u> er_location_been_found/

Body Was build up in alunamons Melter, 3 AM Fridy Morn. Sikikey

Now you know who to call.




Have you fulfilled your civic duty?



All the players.








Carl Wardman, left, and Donald Hammel pose with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department volunteer car. Wardman ended up being a juror on Steven Avery's trial. (SUE PISCHKE/HTR/GANNETT WISCONSIN MEDIA)

Wardman had been a volunteer with the department for at least a year before the trial started.


He was one of the Manitowoc County sheriff's department's most active volunteers, clocking in over 300 hours in 2007.







And now another juror has come forward and changed his mind on Steven Avery's conviction.



Yes, we reported it to everyone there was to report to.

And no one was interested.



Is everybody color blind?

The last time I checked, blue, green and teal were three different colors.

Teresa Halbach's Rav4 is supposed to be green (according to the picture of her standing in front of it and also on the missing person flyer.) The invoice says it is teal. But the recovered SUV is obviously blue (and no, it's <u>not</u> the lighting.)

The easily transferable dashboard VIN number was checked at the Avery property. But were the other VIN number locations ever checked (inside and under the vehicle)?


The tires are the same brand and have the same balancing weights location on them. It would take 30 minutes to switch the wheels.

Her DNA is nowhere to be found in the vehicle (because she was never in it.)

Why would they switch vehicles? Maybe her green RAV4 had damage and/or evidence that didn't fit into their "Steven Avery did it" scenario? Or maybe she was still driving it?



1999 RAV4 Touch Up Paint



For more RAV4 information, read my paper, *THE STEVEN AVERY CASE — SOLVED* <u>http://ernestlmartin.com/avery/THE%20STEVEN%20AVERY%20CASE%20-%20SOLVED.pdf</u>



Does he look like a murderer to you?

Watch various YouTube videos on Making A Murderer here:

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_guery=making+a+murderer

One final observation.



How did these two young female filmmakers shoot all of this incredible footage over a ten year period without being harassed — or worse? And in a community where corruption and intimidation is almost a way of life? Were the conspirators *ordered* to cooperate with these two ladies?

Notice the film's cover. It uses a clever graphic adaptation of the covered eye concept, utilized heavily in Hollywood — and clearly satanic in nature. Could this be the ultimate clue to this entire double wrongful conviction and flawless cinematographic docuseries production?

https://www.google.com/search?q=covered+eye+satanic+symbolism&source=lnms&tbm=isch &sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjz8JWjlbzLAhVM7yYKHdMaDZoQ_AUIBygB&biw=1280&bih=567

Hmm...

Read my paper, THE STEVEN AVERY CASE — SOLVED: http://ernestlmartin.com/avery/THE%20STEVEN%20AVERY%20CASE%20-%20SOLVED.pdf

Addendum


October 24, 2018

I just finished watching the new 10 hour Making a Murderer, Season 2, binge watching as I did in the original series. Here are my observations:

1. The Emmy Award-winning filmmakers Laura Ricciardi and Moira Demos have done a superb job in bringing the case up to date.



The new star of the show is Steven Avery's new attorney, Kathleen Zellner.



Most followers of the case know of her from the many tweets she has sent out since taking on Steven's case pro bono.

Not to be left out is the dedicated and competent Laura Nirider and her legal team, as they pursue their passion of freeing Brendan Dassey.



Both Zellner and Nirider have displayed an unusual level of competency and dedication to their respective clients.

2. What has probably disheartened most viewers is the great lengths that these two attorneys have gone to, just to see their efforts denied by the courts at almost every turn. Indeed, the higher courts rarely overturn a lower court's decision, not matter how good the new evidence is or no matter how badly the lower court behaved in the original trial. It seems that the only way for an innocent person to get out of prison is by an act of God. The court system appears to be corrupt beyond repair. Be sure to read my paper, THE AMERICAN GULAG SYSTEM

http://ernestlmartin.com/avery/THE%20AMERICAN%20GULAG%20SYSTEM.pdf

3. So how can this seemingly conspiratorial mindset exist in court after court in a nation allegedly ruled by Constitutional law? What is the glue that permeates the incomprehensible thinking of these judges? Could it be we are not really as free as we think? Does the Constitution actually take a judicial back seat to the way the United States court system is actually run? Is the real law of the land "Admiralty Law"? Is that why all courts display the American flag with gold fringe on the sides? To understand this complex

issue, this article by Judge Dale spills the beans on what is really going on behind the scenes from a legal and historical standpoint in his lengthy exposé entitled, *THE GREAT AMERICAN ADVENTURE, SECRETS OF AMERICA.* Most will be shocked at what they read: http://stopthecrime.net/docs/THE-GREAT-AMERICAN-ADVENTURE.pdf

